

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/076,958 | 02/19/2002 | Gary F. Falkenstein | 017058-0142 | 2005 |
| 22428 | 7590 08/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 | | | ZEENDER, FLORIAN M | |
| 3000 K STREET NW | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGT | WASHINGTON, DC 20007 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005 | |

2112 1111222, 00,10,200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/076,958 | FALKENSTEIN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | F. Ryan Zeender | 3627 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from titute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 | 9 July 2005. | | | | |
| _ | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>20-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>20-35</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 May 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See rection is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 08) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/076,958

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group II, claims 20-35 in the reply filed on 7/19/2005 is acknowledged. Claims 1-19 have been withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taoka et al. in view of Tanaka et al.

Taoka et al. disclose or make obvious the limitations of the claims except the use of a multi-unit processing system.

Tanaka et al. teach a similar production planning system utilizing a plurality of processing units (See for example Fig. 1) including computer code for the control system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Taoka et al. to include a plurality of processing units using computer code, as taught by Tanaka et al., in order to ensure "high production efficiency" (See Tanaka et al., Col. 2, line 44).

8/16/05

Application/Control Number: 10/076,958

Art Unit: 3627

الأحديث

Note: The use of the system in a farm/plant/seedling environment would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to increase production efficiency of farm products.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (571) 272-6790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowksi can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (571) 272-3600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

F. Zeender Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627 August 16, 2005

F. RYAN ZEENDER PRIMARY EXAMINER